

Revised Project Logical Framework Matrix

Revisions approved by project SC and GEF RTA: Nov, 2007

Project Goal

To embed global environmental concerns into the processes of regional and local development, and spatial planning in Bulgaria.

| Objective/ Outcomes | Indicator | <i>Baseline</i> | <i>Target</i> | Sources of verification | Assumptions and Risks |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| <p>Project Objective: To build capacities for mainstreaming global environment into the formulation and implementation of regional and local development, as well as spatial planning policies.</p> | <p>Indicator 1: New GE sensitive guidelines, for national, regional and municipality planning are adopted by the Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works. (Mid term target: N/A)</p> | GE sensitive guidelines are not in place | Guidelines adopted by mid 2009 | <p>MRDPW adopts Methodological Guidelines in accordance with art. 20 (8) from RDA</p> <p>Project reports.</p> | <p>GOB remains committed to integrating global environmental considerations into regional development and spatial planning processes. MRDPW remains the key responsible Ministry for implementing the RDA and TDA MRDPW and MOEW continue to cooperate closely to ensure appropriate integration of environmental issues in regional development planning</p> |
| | <p>Indicator 2: Percentage of projects under the OPRD funded after 2008, which contain GE indicators relevant to the individual projects, as well as report on them. (Mid term target¹: N/A)</p> | 0 | 80% of projects under the OPRD funded after 2008 contain GE indicators relevant to the individual projects, as well as report on them | <p>Information System for Monitoring and Control of OPRD at MRDPW (after 2009)</p> <p>Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works annual reporting</p> | |
| <p>OUTCOME 1: The methodologies, skills, knowledge, and information management system for mainstreaming global environmental considerations into the formulation, implementation and evaluation of regional development and spatial planning policies are in place</p> | <p>Indicator 3: At least 130 staff, are applying their training (developed by the project) in their review of plans, projects and programmes. (Mid-term target: Training programme fully developed and 20 people trained)</p> | 0 | 130 | <p>Project reports.</p> <p>Report from training institution</p> <p>Results from annual survey.</p> | <p>MRDPW and MOEW are willing to put in place institutional incentives to encourage staff to acquire and apply skills related to mainstreaming of GE in regional development planning Adequate access to necessary data can be effectively facilitated Cooperation and links can be established with other countries in the region and beyond to tap into existing experience and knowledge.</p> |
| | <p>Indicator 4: GE indicators identified and used in evaluating the implementation of RDPs (regional development plans) and MDPs (municipal development plans) and projects (Mid-term target: Information on GE indicators</p> | GE indicators have not been identified | Identified GE indicators are used to verify “zero negative impact on the | <p>Annual reporting on RDPs and MDPs implementation</p> | |

¹ The indicators to be used by the projects under the OPRD is expected to be ready by mid 2008 as part of activities related to Indicator 4.

| Objective/ Outcomes | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Sources of verification | Assumptions and Risks |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | accessible, reporting process and reporting format pilot tested.) | | environment” of RDPs and MDPs and projects | | |
| | <p>Indicator 5: The established web-site for “GE integration into local and regional planning” is viewed and used as an useful information source in work related matters by municipal and regional planners, interested stakeholders and Government staff (MRDPW etc.)</p> <p>(Mid-term target: The established website is fully operational and its existence is recognized by municipal and regional planners, interested stakeholders and Government staff (MRDPW etc.)</p> | Web-site for “GE integration into local and regional planning” not established | Web-site for “GE integration into local and regional planning” used as an information source | Project reports. Results from annual survey. | |
| <p>OUTCOME 2: Institutional changes that support mainstreaming of global environmental into regional development and spatial planning are in place.</p> | <p>Indicator 6: Staff participation (MRDPW, district administrations and municipalities) in (xx hours of) trainings, seminars and workshops related to “green” development planning and implementation through Ministry support (as well as from other funding sources).</p> <p>(Mid term target: Staff participation in (1000 hours) of trainings, seminars and workshops related to “green” development planning and implementation)</p> | 0 | 6240 hours | Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works annual reporting | <p>MRDPW views PMU and its functioning as an integral part of its operations.</p> <p>MRDPW staff can be vocal proponents of mainstreaming GE in their interaction with other Managing Authorities (through participation in Working Groups).</p> |
| | <p>Indicator 7: 100% of job descriptions and performance evaluation forms within the <u>relevant</u> departments and structures of Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works (app. 50) have been updated in accordance with the Methodological Guidance, so as to outline the specific task required, by the individual positions, to ensure overall integration of GE into the Ministry’s activities.</p> <p>(Mid term target: 25% of the relevant staff’s job descriptions and performance evaluation forms have been updated.)</p> | 0 | 100% of the relevant staff’s job descriptions have been updated (app. 50) | External Review of relevant job descriptions Methodological Guidance adopted by MRDPW for update of relevant job descriptions | |

| Objective/ Outcomes | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Sources of verification | Assumptions and Risks |
|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
| | Indicator 8: Number of meetings held by an inter-ministerial expert Task Force aimed at exchanging experience and best practices and developing a proposal for integrating GE concerns into the work of other ministries. (Mid term target: 1 meetings held by the Task Force) | 0 | At least 4 meetings held by the Task Force | Project reports. Minutes from Task Force meetings | |
| OUTCOME 3: Regional development plans and municipal-level spatial development plans are revised to integrate global environmental objectives in a pilot region through application of capacities developed in Outcomes 1 and 2. | Indicator 9: The new GE sensitive guidelines adopted by MRDPW, for regional ² and municipality planning are introduced to a set of municipalities and the 28 district administrations. (Mid-term target: Review of regional and municipal planning documentation underway.) | N/A | Adopted guidelines introduced to municipalities and regional administrations | Guidelines Project reports. Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works annual reporting | Capacity development measures for mainstreaming GE include appropriate staff from the SE region. |
| | Indicator 10: Number of public hearings that is held for each of the development plan reviewed and an equal number of seminars on civil participation in plan development and plan monitoring held. (Mid term target: Materials for seminars on civil participation in plan development and plan monitoring produced) | 0 | At least 1 public hearing and 1 seminar per reviewed plan/strategy | Project reports. | |

² National planning follows the cycle. National strategy -> Regional Strategy -> municipality planning according to the regional strategy -> regional planning combining the municipality planning wishes -> national planning following the planning wishes outlined in the regional plans.